

## **GPL Draft Minutes Tuesday, Jan 12, 2016**

Time: 1:30 PM to 3:30 PM  
Location: Dept. State Lands  
775 Summer Street NE, Suite 100  
Salem, OR. 97301

### **Intro:**

### **Announcements/jobs/Amendment**

- CaGIS Map Design Competition: <http://www.cartogis.org/awards/contest.php>
- Cartographic Map Elements – still being referenced on Standards Pages (as a Standard) but this should change once the GEO Web site has been reworked. GPL recommended to rescind the STD and brought forth to OGIC for approval in 2015.
- Determining next GPL meeting places:
  - a) ODA on Feb 9, 2016
  - b) Revenue on March 8, 2016
  - c) Water Resources on April 12

### **Review Nov 2015 Minutes:**

- No additional comments.

### **GEO:**

GEO and DLCD are moving forward with a study regarding the feasibility of a GIS Shared Services concept for state agencies. It is a joint venture that will begin with a survey to the GIS managers of state agencies to collect basic information that will form a comprehensive inventory of GIS resources and the needs. The survey should be sent out in the next month.

### **Framework Update:**

CY Smith – DAS GEO hired Theresa Burscu as the new Framework Coordinator. Framework Forum was held up in Metro and the turnout was good. Cy met with OSBEELS (Oregon Board of Examiners for Engineering and Land Surveying) concerning the use of the term “authoritative data” in the legislative concept regarding data sharing. This topic came up at the Forum and has since been resolved. Cy will add reference language to the current GPL/OGIC authoritative data document that addresses the survey community concerns.

### **Authoritative Data (AD) discussion:**

R. Sounhein - So OGIC asked that we get feedback on Authoritative Document from the Framework Forum. I did a PowerPoint presentation. Received both positive and negative feedback: Many like the presentation and the idea. The Lincoln County surveyor - John

Walfenschmidt, discussed the fact that the term was already in use with the Oregon Survey industry and ask us to reconsider it all. Don Petit disagreed and felt we were fine and right on track.

I then brought this back to OGIC at Dec 2015 meeting and they too responded favorably about it all. Dean Andersen did believe that it would be a good idea to expand our scope of getting feedback and contact OSBEELS to get their input. CY Smith was to meet with OSBEELS this morning and then let us know what he found.

Cy Smith – Talked about taxlots being an authoritative dataset. Both Dean Andersen and John Walfenschmidt were looking at it from a survey perspective in that the Authoritative version of an individual property boundary is developed by a surveyor. The term that the GIS folks are talking about here is something else – i.e. that Framework Data inventory (250 plus we have and not the survey boundary. Therefore anything we do related to the data sharing legislation will be in compliance with ORS 672. OSBEELS was fine with the whole idea and the wording. OGIC had asked that perhaps we add some verbiage into the Authoritative Document about ORS 672. Once this is done we will resubmit to GPL for final review.

### **Imagery FIT updates:**

B. Callahan - Last met back in fall 2015 to discuss inventorying what imagery needs folks have (sent out an online survey). County/city agencies have a need for high resolution with frequent update rates; State agencies have a need for low resolutions.

Asked folks how they would like imagery delivered:

- 33 percent said desired streamed services
- 66 percent wanted own copy to host in-house.

Cost from all the providers is approximately the same: \$800,000 – \$1,000,000 for off the shelf data. Pictometry will provide a presentation on Jan 20, 2016. We know what it all cost, and so now the overall goal then is to gather all our info and then come up with a plan. At this point there is no specific time frame for any acquisitions. More people are interested in oblique imagery versus infra-red. Cost is prohibitive to store all statewide datasets for multiple years. Perhaps we eliminate the older versions but not sure at this point?

### **Agency Roundtable:**

DEQ – M. Bishop. GeoCortex migration is finally installed and up and running. And will extend to Water Quality applications.

ODFW – Jon Bowers. Working with Dave Pray, at DEQ with the Placer mining project. DEQ will put out a Placer Mining applications. New hire to take over our Framework Data Development effort – primarily our Fish Distribution effort.

DLCD- R. Dana. Looking for comments from folks on reviews of our statewide Zoning layers, for future updates.

GEO Framework – T. Buscua. No updates at this point. Will set up meeting with Chairs to review where efforts are headed.

ESRI – N. Smith. Diane Wagner ([dwagner@esri.com](mailto:dwagner@esri.com)) at ESRI REDlands will take over for Andy Spence as the training coordinator.

DSL – R. Sounhein. Working on multiple LWI projects – recently worked with Bob Denouden on the City of Bend LWI.

ODR – J. Prychun. Have about 15 counties that turned in Taxlot info for 2015. Jan 13 is the assessor conference and will ask counties to turn in data to revenue. The new data sharing contract went over to AG office where they added needed boilerplate input.

ODF – E. Nile. Have been informally maintaining the location of structural rural Fire Districts. Look at possibly linking to the ORMAP. We have been flying Lidar up in Northwest portion of the state (Columbia Clatsop Counties). Looking at creating a conflation process for our attributes on older hydro data (LLID). Helping with planning the NW GIS conference here in Salem, OR. on Oct 14, 2016. There is a need for volunteers so if interested get a hold of me.

ODA – Finishing up the water quantity modeling for the Willamette Basin. In Jan 2016 hope to move our data off of the DLCD server and onto the new shared server. Looking for help from ESRI to set up a performance analysis with our servers. Plant division is making tracking maps for the spring.

ODWR – B. Harmon. Carried out our 10.3 from 10.1 installs via remote installs.

ODP – B. Callahan. Been talking with ESRI on large RASTER storage collections and best practices.

**Meeting Adjourned**