State of Oregon

GIS PROGRAM LEADERS (GPL) COMMITTEE

Date: June 11, 2019

Time: 1:30 - 3:30

Location: Department of Agriculture (ODA)

635 Capitol St NE, Salem, OR 97301

Location Notes: Go to 3rd floor media room

Remote Attendance via Zoom:

https://zoom.us/j/291978075

Call-In Option for Audio-Only (if not using computer audio)

855 880 1246 US Toll-free 877 853 5257 US Toll-free 888 683 5191 US Toll-free

Meeting ID: 291 978 075

Join by Skype for Business

https://zoom.us/skype/291978075

AGENDA – see instructions on next page

1:30 Introductions and announcements

ΑII

- No July meeting. Off for summer! Resume in August.
- Previous meeting follow-up items/updates
- 1:40 Review of purpose and expectations for today

Theresa

- Purpose: to discuss impressions, strengths, and weaknesses of 2019-2021 Framework Development Program proposals and recommend a funding category (fund, fund conditionally, do not fund) for each proposal
- 1:45 Background information and next steps

Theresa

1:55 Discussion of proposals

Theresa

Process:

- i. Framework Coordinator will lead the group through each proposal in numeric ID order. (12 min each)
- ii. Framework Coordinator or scribe will record comments in the following categories:
 - 1. Funding pool recommendation
 - 2. Reviewing group comments based on review instructions provided
 - 3. Reviewing group funding recommendation
 - 4. Funding conditions (if required by reviewing group)

3:30 Adjourn

INSTRUCTIONS AND PREPARATIONS FOR REVIEWERS

- 1. Select your proposals¹
 - a. GPL: https://doodle.com/poll/amxgwb7f2iybgh3a
 - b. FIT: https://doodle.com/poll/xkztuwnv37yquzd6
 - c. PAC: https://doodle.com/poll/ffpfsbexbxk2zqht
- 2. Carefully read your selected proposals
- 3. Prepare brief comments about each of your selected proposals:
 - a. Impression of the proposal relative to the
 - i. Rubric criteria
 - ii. other proposals
 - iii. your role in the reviewing process (i.e., your expertise)
 - b. Overall impression of the project. In other words, what are the proposals' strengths and weaknesses in the topical areas?

Tips: It may be useful to review the $\underline{\mathsf{RFP}}$ (pg. 6-8)², the rubric(s) criteria, and your responses as you prepare your comments. Another approach is to use the following consideration areas taken from the RFP and rubrics to guide your thinking:

- 1. GPL
 - a. Technical soundness
 - b. Enterprise orientation
- 2. FIT
- a. Data need, maintenance and access / Need, maintenance and access
- b. Data integration / Integration
- c. Other considerations
 - i. Alignment to NSDI and FIT
 - ii. Existing/matching funds
 - iii. Standards/common operating procedures
 - iv. [General projects] acceleration of Framework completion
- 3. PAC
 - a. Enterprise orientation
 - b. Funding and cost
 - c. Other considerations
 - i. Conforms to OAR and ORS
 - ii. Will benefit Oregonians, particularly for service provisioning
 - iii. Accelerates progress towards Governor's goals

ftp://ftp.gis.oregon.gov/MINUTES/TMP/2019FITDevProg/Proposals%20 20190521.zip

¹ Download proposals and rubrics:

² RFP: https://www.oregon.gov/geo/FIT%20Documents/FIT_2019-21_RFP-funding-programdoc.pdf