Agency Legislative Concept Request

Agency #/Concept #: 10700/?

Placeholder?	$oxed{oxed}$ Yes	\square N
--------------	------------------	-------------

Date: 4/2/12

(TEXT BOXES EXPAND AS NEEDED)

Agency: Department of Administrative Services

Division/Program: Office of the State CIO

Concept Subject or Title: Geospatial Data Sharing

Concept Contact Person: Dugan PettyE-Mail: dugan.a.petty@state.or.usPhone: 503-378-3175Agency Legislative Coordinator: Marjorie TaylorE-Mail: marjorie.taylor@state.or.usPhone: 503-378-3118

1.	Impact	to	Outcome	Area(s	;)
----	---------------	----	---------	--------	----

⊠ Education		⊠ Economy and Jobs	Housekeeping Only
-------------	--	--------------------	-------------------

2. **Problem** (Completely describe the problem you propose to solve.)

Decisions by government that save lives, protect property, preserve wildlife, and ensure sustainable development have a crucial element in common: Success depends on reliable, accurate, timely information. Today, many decisions are based only on the best available data, which can be very poor and therefore have great uncertainty. Often, data is not available when needed or is inadequate for the particular decision. There are a variety of impediments that prevent data sharing between government agencies. Those impediments can be summarized as: privacy/confidentiality, liability/risk, and cost.

Good government requires good decision making, and good decision making requires good data. In many cases, the best data is collected for a particular purpose by one agency. If that data is shared with other government agencies, the usefulness of the data increases. The result is better decision making at a lower cost. Unfortunately, the impediments to data sharing often prove insurmountable. While some data sharing happens, much of the data collected and managed by government agencies is not shared, so agencies continue to duplicate the data collection and management.

Geospatial data – any dataset with a locational element, such as an address, tax lot ID or latitude/longitude coordinate – is an essential component of the business of government. The vast majority of all information used in the management of government at all levels can be referenced to a location. The Oregon Geographic Information Council's (OGIC) vision is to enable increased sharing of geospatial data to improve government decision making at all levels.

A study conducted for OGIC in 2007 indicated that state and local government agencies in Oregon spend more than \$4 billion annually on geospatial data collection and management. The study found that properly managing geospatialdata, including appropriate data sharingshared data development, will save hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars every year. The cost savings realized from removing impediments to data sharing will allow government agencies at all levels to meet higher demand for services without increased resources.

3. **Proposed Solution** (Completely describe what the concept does to fix the problem. <u>Do not include proposed statute changes here.</u>)

Enact legislation that defines the term 'geospatial data' and specifies that all geospatial data developed with taxpayer funds will be made available via the Internet to all government agencies in Oregon. The proposed legislation will take into account existing provisions of the Oregon Public Records Act and related statutes to ensure compliance with existing exemptions. The legislation will specify security considerations for critical infrastructure and other sensitive data to ensure that such data will only be available to government agencies and not redistributed. The legislation will limit or reduce liability and risk associated with geospatial data sharing between government agencies.

4.	Has this been introduced i	n a prior session? ⊠ No ☐ Yes	Years(s) Bill#(s)		
	Does this amend current law or programs? ☐ No ☒ Yes (Specify) Possibly ORS 190.050, 268.357				
	Is this related to a legal de	cision? ⊠ No ☐ Yes (Case cite, A	GO No. date, etc. – attach copies)		
5.		ute (<u>Please attach</u> your best attemp Legislative Counsel may draft alter	t at proposing changes to statute to nate language.)		
6.	Stakeholders and/or Other Affected Agencies who are Aware of Your Concept				
	Agency:	Contact Person:	Phone:		
	Oregon Geographic Information Council participating agencies	OGIC Chair, Dugan Petty	503-378-3175		
	Association of Oregon Counties	Exec. Director, Mike McArthur	503-585-8351		
	League of Oregon Cities	Exec. Director, Mike McCauley	503-588-6550		
	Known Support or Opposi	tion (Please elaborate)			
	Counties endorsed a decision mer	morandum in September 2006 that spent agencies, assuming liability issues c	e concept. The Association of Oregon cified their fundamental support of geosould be resolved. We believe the	spatia	
	Counties endorsed a decision mer data sharing with other governmer stakeholders support this concept	morandum in September 2006 that spent agencies, assuming liability issues c	cified their fundamental support of geos	spatia	
	Counties endorsed a decision mer data sharing with other governmer stakeholders support this concept Increases fees or assessm	morandum in September 2006 that spent agencies, assuming liability issues cand that there is no opposition.	ecified their fundamental support of geosould be resolved. We believe the	spatia	
8.	Counties endorsed a decision mer data sharing with other governmer stakeholders support this concept Increases fees or assessm Concept has other fiscal, r	morandum in September 2006 that spent agencies, assuming liability issues cand that there is no opposition. The ents? No Yes Provide Form revenue or position (FTE) impact. L additional substantive infor	ecified their fundamental support of geosould be resolved. We believe the	than	
8. 9.	Counties endorsed a decision mer data sharing with other governmer stakeholders support this concept Increases fees or assessm Concept has other fiscal, r For PLACEHOLDERS – AL July 13. This concept is a I Additional Information or A Attachment A - Draft Language.	morandum in September 2006 that spent agencies, assuming liability issues cand that there is no opposition. Ments? No Yes Provide Form revenue or position (FTE) impared. L additional substantive infor PLACEHOLDER. No Yes (Attachments (Briefly describe attachments)	cified their fundamental support of geospould be resolved. We believe the could be resolved. We	than 12 etc.)	
9.	Counties endorsed a decision mer data sharing with other governmer stakeholders support this concept Increases fees or assessm Concept has other fiscal, r For PLACEHOLDERS – AL July 13. This concept is a I Additional Information or A Attachment A - Draft Language. E provided as a model framework th	morandum in September 2006 that spent agencies, assuming liability issues of and that there is no opposition. The ents? No Yes Provide Form revenue or position (FTE) imparts. L additional substantive infor PLACEHOLDER. No Yes (Attachments (Briefly describe attachments) proposed language is not proposed.	cified their fundamental support of geospould be resolved. We believe the could be resolved. We	than 12 etc.)	
9.	Counties endorsed a decision mer data sharing with other governmer stakeholders support this concept Increases fees or assessm Concept has other fiscal, r For PLACEHOLDERS – AL July 13. This concept is a I Additional Information or A Attachment A - Draft Language. E provided as a model framework th concept.	morandum in September 2006 that spent agencies, assuming liability issues of and that there is no opposition. Therefore I No I Yes Provide Form revenue or position (FTE) imparts. L. additional substantive infor PLACEHOLDER. I No I Yes (Provide Form PLACEHOLDER) I No I Yes (Provide Form PLACEHOLD	cified their fundamental support of geospould be resolved. We believe the could be resolved. We	tha	